

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/581,220	06/01/2006	Hans Kodden	NL03 1409 US1	1671	
24738 PHILIPS INTE	7590 08/18/200 ELLECTUAL PROPER	EXAM	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 3001			TEATERS, LINDSEY C		
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510-8	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3742	•	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/18/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/581,220	KODDEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	LINDSEY C. TEATERS	3742	

•	LAUTIMICI	Aironic	1					
	LINDSEY C. TEATERS	3742						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 03 August 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.						
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandomment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN T MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of					
filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS	and the time period set forth in or	51 11 4 1.07 (u).						
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause					
<ul><li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further con</li></ul>	nsideration and/or search (see NO							
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo								
(c) 🗌 They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for								
appeal; and/or	announceding to the of finally to it	ated alabas						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a environment. (See 37 CFR 1.1)		cted claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amandment (	DTOL 224)					
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		inpliant Amendment (	F10L-324).					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imalı filad amandına	at concelling the					
non-allowable claim(s).	lowable il submitted ili a separate, i	imely lieu amenumei	it canceling the					
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or memended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: 1-17.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 4.133(d)(1).								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12.  Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
/TU B HOANG/	/LINDSEY C TEATERS	,						
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3742	Examiner, Art Unit 3742	,						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments to independent claims 1, 10 and 14 and dependent claims 9, 11, 15 and 16 require further search. The Final Rejection dated 06/02/2009 was written, regarding claims 1, 10 and 14, leaving the possibility that the brew chamber could have previously been opened at some point during the brewing process, after the brewing process, or at any point preceeding the brewing chamber being opened after the brewing process, in order to squeeze the one or more pads in the brewing chamber since it was not specified until current after final amendment that the brew chamber had to remain closed during the brewing process and is not opened until after its completion. Therefore, the addition of the limitation that the brewing chamber is closed during the brewing of the brewed beverage eliminates these possibilities and creates the need for further search.